

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/870,128	IHLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.	1637	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) Peter Foiles.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*I called to correct a mistake in the restriction mailed March 17, 2005. Dependent claims 63-79 were improperly left with the claims in Group I. I verified that applicant still elects to prosecute Group I, consisting of claims 39-58 and 80-93.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 07/15/2005  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)